

APPLICANT(S): Natan Baron  
SERIAL NO.: 10/566,920  
FILED: 01/09/2007  
Page 7

### **REMARKS**

The present response is intended to be fully responsive to all points of objection and/or rejection raised by the Examiner and is believed to place the application in condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration and allowance of the application is respectfully requested.

Applicants assert that the present invention is new, non-obvious and useful. Prompt consideration and allowance of the claims is respectfully requested.

### **Status of Claims**

Claims 1-38 are pending.

Claims 4-8, 12-14, 16, 22, 23, 25-27, 30, and 33-36 have been objected to.

Claims 1-3, 9-11, 15, 17-21, 24, 28, 29, 31, 32, 37 and 38 have been rejected.

Claims 1, 11, 15, and 17 have been amended.

Claims 2, 4, 14, 16, and 23 have been canceled without prejudice or disclaimer. In making this cancellation without prejudice, Applicants reserve all rights in these claims to file divisional and/or continuation patent applications.

Applicants respectfully assert that the amendments to the claims add no new matter.

### **Allowable Subject Matter**

In the Office Action, the Examiner stated that claims -3, 9-11, 15, 17-21, 24, 28, 29, 31, 32, 37 and 38 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all limitations of the base claim and any intervening claim.

APPLICANT(S): Natan Baron  
SERIAL NO.: 10/566,920  
FILED: 01/09/2007  
Page 8

### **DISCUSSION OF CLAIMS REJECTIONS AND OBJECTIONS**

In the Office Action, the Examiner objected to claim 4-8, 12-14, 16, 22, 23, 25-27, 30, and 33-36 as being depended upon a rejected base claim, but indicated that those claims would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and independent claims.

Claims 1-3, 9-11, 15, 17-21, 24, 28, 29, 31, 32, 37 and 38 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a).

Independent claim 1 was rewritten to include the limitations of the now canceled claim 4 (and the now canceled intervening claim 2) that is indicated as allowable if rewritten in independent form including all limitations of the base claim and any intervening claim. Therefore, the amended claim 1 should be allowed. Claims 3, and 5-10 that depend upon claim 1 should therefore also be allowed.

Independent claim 11 was rewritten to include the limitations of the now canceled claim 14, that is indicated as allowable if rewritten in independent form including all limitations of the base claim and any intervening claim. Therefore, the amended claim 11 should be allowed. Claims 12 and 13 that depend upon claim 1 should therefore also be allowed.

Independent claim 15 was rewritten to include the limitations of the now canceled claim 16 that is indicated as allowable if rewritten in independent form including all limitations of the base claim and any intervening claim. Therefore, the amended claim 15 should be allowed.

Independent claim 17 was rewritten to include the limitations of the now canceled claim 23 that is indicated as allowable if rewritten in independent form including all limitations of the base claim and any intervening claim. Therefore, the amended claim 17 should be allowed. Claims 18-22, and 24-29 that depend upon claim 17 should therefore also be allowed.

Independent claim 30 is indicated as allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and independent claims. However, claim 30

APPLICANT(S): Natan Baron  
SERIAL NO.: 10/566,920  
FILED: 01/09/2007  
Page 9

is an independent claims, and should therefore be allowed. Claims 31-36 that depend upon claim 30 should therefore also be allowed.

Independent claim 37 has been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Blissett in view of Ohki.

However, no further reasons for rejection have been provided in the Office action for this rejection. Therefore, claim 37 should be allowed. Claims 38 that depends upon claim 37 should therefore also be allowed.

### **Conclusion**

Although Applicants may disagree with statements made by the Examiner in reference to the claims and the cited references, Applicants are not discussing all these statements in the current Office Action since reasons for the patentability of each pending claim are provided without addressing these statements. Therefore, Applicants reserve the right to address these statements at a later time if necessary.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, Applicants assert that the pending claims are allowable. Their favorable reconsideration and allowance is respectfully requested.

Should the Examiner have any question or comment as to the form, content or entry of this Amendment, the Examiner is requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone number below. Similarly, if there are any further issues yet to be resolved to advance the prosecution of this application to issue, the Examiner is requested to telephone the undersigned counsel.

Respectfully submitted,

/OREN RECHES/

---

Oren Reches

APPLICANT(S): Natan Baron  
SERIAL NO.: 10/566,920  
FILED: 01/09/2007  
Page 10

Attorney/Agent for Applicant(s)  
Registration No. 53,506

Dated: August 12, 2010

**Reches Patents**

211 North Union Street, Suite 100  
Alexandria, Virginia 22314  
United States  
Tel: (703) 838 5568  
Fax: (703) 683 4707